## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Robert J. Walsh,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No.: 2:20-cv-01714-GMN-NJK
vs.	)
	) ORDER
Brian Williams, et al.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 16), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which states that Plaintiff Robert J. Walsh's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna—Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See Min. Order, ECF No. 16) (setting a February 25, 2022, deadline for objections).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 16), is **ADOPTED** in full. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Robert J. Walsh's Application to Proceed in forma pauperis, (ECF No. 4), is **DENIED**. Plaintiff is required to pay the full \$400 filing fee if he wishes to proceed with this case. Dated this 28 day of February, 2022. Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge United States District Court